

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	· FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/828,519	04/06/2001	Andrew W. Lo	1869-003A	6245
9629 7590 09/14/2007 MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			EXAMINER	
1111 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE NV	V	PATEL, JAGDISH	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3693	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/828,519	LO ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		JAGDISH PATEL	3693			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS INSIGN THE MAILING THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 M	<u>ay 2007</u> .				
,—	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>6-10</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>6-10</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	<i>i</i>			
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 4/6/01 is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) Notice 3) Information	tt(s)  ce of References Cited (PTO-892)  ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  er No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 09/828,519 Page 2

Art Unit: 3693

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This communication is in response to amendment filed 5/16/07.

## Response to Amendment

- 2. No Claims have been amended. Claims 1-5 and 11-14 have been cancelled. Claims 6-10 are currently pending.
- 3. Please note that the application has been assigned to Examiner Jagdish Patel whose contact information is provided below.
- 4. Although, the pending claims have been found allowable over the prior art, certain defects must be resolved in order that the claims are resolved under 35 USC 112(second). These issued have note been addressed in the prior office action warranting this action to be a non-final action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/828,519 Page 3

Art Unit: 3693

4. Claims 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The following analysis applied to all independent claims.

4.1 The claims recite the limitation of the data processor as being capable of "generating said non-linear relationship." which recite the claim as a whole indefinite because it has been held by the courts that the recitation that an element is "capable of" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform and does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. In re Hutchinson, 69 USPQ 138.

Suggested language: "capable of" should read "comprising means for."

- 4.2 The claims recite limitation "a pattern recognition processor.. to discern the existence of one or more patterns ..". However, there is no utility for the this functionality of the pattern recognition processor. It is unclear what role it plays in the detection of the various pattern formations, which is stated in the limitation of "programming".
- **4.3** (see also 4.2). the usage of phrase "if any" which applied to "said pattern" renders the claim indefinite because it the entire functionality of the (pattern recognition) processor is predicated on the existence of the "one or more patterns". The claim fails to specify what the processor does if the no pattern exists.

Application/Control Number: 09/828,519

Art Unit: 3693

the output generated by the (pattern recognition) processor is recited as "based on a recognition of said pattern" without identifying which pattern (of the one or more patterns). Therefore, the limitation "the pattern" lacks positive antecedent basis.

- **4.4** The limitation "programming" renders the claim(s) unclear because this limitation recited as "standalone" or unrelated to any other limitation of the system claims. Is the process of detecting performed by the programming (means) related to the one or more patterns discerned by the pattern recognition processor? or is it based upon "the results output"?
- 4.5 The claim fails to recite structural relationship amongst the elements of the system (interpreted as an apparatus, a statutory class of invention). As an example, the database, the data processor, the pattern recognition processor and the programming are not communicably linked.
- 4.6 the element of the system recited as "programming" is being interpreted as programmed executable code. It is unclear what is any structural part of the system contains this element and which inputs are provided to detect a given pattern.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAGDISH PATEL whose telephone number is (571) 272-6748.

The examiner can normally be reached on 800AM-630PM Mon-Tue and Thu.

Application/Control Number: 09/828,519

Art Unit: 3693

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **KRAMER JAMES A** can be reached on **(571)272-6783**. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 517-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jagdish N. Patel

(Primary Examiner, AU 3693)

9/11/07